

Measurement of Ventilation and Mixing Rates in Performance Halls to Characterize Risk of COVID-19 Transmission

Measuring Ventilation to Assess Spread of Airborne Pathogens

- COVID-19 can be spread through airborne transmission
- Pathogen is emitted on the breath of infected individuals, and susceptible people then inhale this "second-hand air"
- Carbon dioxide (CO_2) exhaled by people is a good proxy for COVID-19 risk
- Because CO_2 is not removed by filters inside building air handlers, one must measure both the room and supply air CO₂ concentration







- Air circulates between theater and supply air and is filtered during each pass
- Outside air is also mixed into supply air during every pass. This exchange rate is variable

Sensor Deployment



- Twenty Aranet4 PRO non-dispersive infrared CO₂ sensors installed across Weber State University's Val A. Browning Center
- Measured through the fall performance season: November & December 2021



- Simultaneous measurement of in-room and supply air CO₂ concentrations allows for quantification of air recirculation and exchange rates
- Austad Auditorium (capacity: 1,408): thirteen sensors
- Allred Theater (capacity 272): five sensors
- Eccles Theater (capacity 125): two sensors
- Also measured an office, classroom, and choir room

- All theaters are heavily reliant on the filters in their air handlers to actively remove particles (including emitted virus) from the air
- Because of the high recirculation rates, relative risk parameter (H_r) is sufficiently low to keep attack rates below 10%
- Browning Center is ensuring high quality filters (MERV-13) are installed in all air handlers
- Performances and rehearsals moved to spaces with best ventilation
- Audience locations moved for some performances to minimize unfiltered air exchange between performers and audience



Thanks to the Val A. Browning Center and the Research, Scholarship, and Professional Growth Committee for funding.

Sara Nielson and Demetrios Pagonis

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Weber State University, Ogden, UT 84408

Majority of Mitigation Achieved Through Filtration in Air Handlers

- In all performance venues, filtration of air by the air handler was the dominant removal pathway for pathogens
- WSU Facilities switched from MERV-8 to MERV-13 filters in 2020, giving high filter efficiency (>80%)
- Significant differences in recirculation rate was observed, with the larger theaters having very fast (16 h⁻¹) recirculation rates
- The Eccles Theater had recirculation rates similar to a typical classroom
- Because filtration dominates over ventilation with outdoor air, absolute CO_2 concentrations are not a reliable indicator of COVID risk in spaces with mechanical ventilation
- In rooms with mechanical ventilation, one should rely on the difference between room and supply air CO₂ concentration to assess risk of room-level pathogen transmission









Study Outcomes

Literature COVID-19 Outbreaks Range of H_r for Each Location 100 Choirs Office 80 Classroom Choir Rehearsal 60 ⁺ Airplane Eccles Allred Call Cente 40 Austad School 0.001 0.01 Relative Infection Risk Parameter H_r (h² m⁻³ Attack Rate Location H_{r, Maximum} (Single Infector) 0.0003 Austad 0-0.2% 0 – 2% 0.003 Allred 800.0 Eccles 0-4% 0.018 0 – 10% Choir Rehearsal 0.9 – 26% Classroom (lecturing) 0.06 Office (talking) 10 – 48% 0.12 Probability of COVID Spread vs Prevalence January 2022 20 – ____ Austad 15 _ ____ Allred Eccles Choir Rehearsal Classroom - Ŭ

100

200

County Case Rate (per 100,000)

300





Filtration Outdoor Air Decay Deposition

- Measurements made in this study allow for calculation of relative risk infection parameter H_r , which is related to attack rate of a pathogen in the figure at left
- H_r depends on the activity happening inside the room, event duration, room volume, and ventilation rate
- Ranges of attack rates expected for all venues measured are in the table at left. All spaces besides classroom and office assume a 20-person choir and a sell-out crowd. Classroom is a lecture with 25 students, and office is a 3-person meeting
- All calculations assume no masking
- Overall attack rate (bottom figure) is dependent on H_r , the number of people in the room, and the population incidence of the disease
- Bottom plot includes a 3-fold increase in infectivity to represent the omicron variant of COVID-19. All other plots use the infectivity of wild-type COVID-19

Performers are the Main Source of Risk

- Rate of pathogen emission by an infected person varies significantly based on their activity.
- Singing and speaking emit pathogen very efficiently compared to sitting quietly
- In performance halls, this means that singers and actors account for the majority of COVID-19 risk, even when outnumbered by audience members
- This makes performers a priority for any mitigation or testing efforts









- 19 risk

Aranet4 Sensor Statistics

- Aranet4 sensor variability is normally distributed with a standard deviation of 10 ppm CO₂
- Sensor-to-sensor variability is 5.4 ppm CO_2
- Typical CO₂ enhancements in occupied spaces are hundreds of ppm CO_2 , and so these sensors are well-suited for indoor monitoring
- No significant sensor drift is observed on short timescales (under 2 h) at constant temperature and pressure
- Small day-to-day drifts of 3 ppm are observed
- Local minimum at 24 hours of averaging suggests that drift is due to changes in temperature and pressure







Jimenez, Peng, and Pagonis, Indoor Air, 2022 doi:10.1111/ina.13025

—— DEPARTMENT OF —— **CHEMISTRY** AND BIOCHEMISTRY

In theaters with smaller audiences, performers can account for over 95% of COVID-

• This risk apportionment applies to any setting where one person is speaking and others are not, such as lectures

