



QUALITY

#### Université Institut de Chimie et de Strasbourg Procédés pour l'Énergie, YOF l'Environnement et la Santé

Science for Solutions

 $\mathbf{R}$ 

# **Determination of 242 Organic Compounds in Fog Water by GC-MS, GC-MS/MS,** and LC-MS/MS

**Dani Khoury**<sup>a,b</sup>, Yasmine Jabali<sup>b</sup>, Olivier Delhomme<sup>a,c</sup>, Maurice Millet<sup>a</sup>

(dani.khoury@etu.unistra.fr)

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemistry and Processes for Energy, Environment and Health ICPEES UMR 7515 Group of Physical Chemistry of the Atmosphere, University of Strasbourg, 25 Rue Becquerel, F-67087 Strasbourg Cedex 3, Strasbourg, France.

<sup>b</sup> Environmental Engineering Laboratory (EEL): University of Balamand, Faculty of Engineering, Chemical Engineering Department, Kelhat-El Koura, Lebanon. <sup>c</sup>UFR Sciences fondamentales et appliquées, Université de Lorraine, Campus Bridoux, rue du Général Deslestraint, Metz, 57070, France.

## INTRODUCTION

- The analysis of organic matters in fog water has taken more attention in the last few years.
- The compounds of interests are: phenols, acids, pesticides (volatile and non-volatile), and POPs (OCPs, PAHs, and PCBs).
- The extraction of the targeted compounds is performed by Solid-liquid extraction (SLE).
- The analytical quantification is done by GC-MS (phenols and acids), GC-MS/MS (POPs and volatile pesticides),

#### and LC-MS/MS (non-volatile pesticides).

#### **Extraction Method**

Step 1: Solution loading Step 2: Waiting

Step 3: Elution

CI







## Case Study: PAHs, PCBs, and OCPs (POPs)

	Rate (°C/min)	Temp (°C)	Hold time (min)
Initial		50	3
Ramp 1	10	255	0
Ramp 2	20	330	18

GC-MS/MS conditions: MS transfer line temp: 300°C Ion source temp: 210°C Ionization mode: EI Gas flow: 1 ml/min Split flow: 20 ml/min Mode: Splitless



• Validated for its precisions, recovery, and linearity

**Examples of chemical structures of:** 

Concentration range of PAHs/PCBs/OCPs detected in fog samples taken from Alsace (France) and Lebanon during 2018 and 2021

Organic compounds	Concentration Range (ug/l)		
	Lebanon	Alsace	
<u>HAPs</u>			
Naphtalene	19.92-55.76	13.85-41.99	
Acenaphtene	5.66-23.55	5.35-11.94	
Fluorene	41.69-69.58	2.93-24.31	
Phenanthrene	203.26-313.24	8.12-60.69	
Anthracene	70.843-207.71	4.20-19.91	
Fluoranthrene	126.75-230.12	16.22-112.37	
Pyrene	149.45-253.8	12.43-102.48	
Benzo(a)anthracene	135.75-452.55	13.61-495.99	
Benzo(b)fluoranthrene	7.12	178.12	
Benzo(k)fluoranthrene	84.78	308.12	
Benzo(e)pyrene	119.1	97.22	
Benzo(a)pyrene	421.55	86.72-355.66	
<u>OCPs</u>			
α-HCH	3.48-17.7	1.92-4.49	
γ-HCH	7.12-36.46	5.39-9.98	
PCBs			
PCB118	6.39-100.96	28.32-42.44	
PCB123	_	3.45	

- Low LOD and LOQ,  $R^2 > 0.98$ , and % RSD < 20 are achieved.
- Applied on real fog samples.
- Most organic compounds are extracted by SLE.

### Conclusions

- The use of SLE coupled with GC-MS, GC-MS/MS, and LC-MS/MS enables the determination of a wide variety of emerging environmental organic compounds in one matrix.
- The applicability of this method on real fog samples taken from Lebanon and Alsace (France) shows a potential risk of different environmental pollutants.

### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the University of Strasbourg, in particular the ICPEES laboratory for funding the project and providing all materials and equipment for the good of this work, without which the present study could not have been















